IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
V. No. 1:19-cr-10040-JDB
TELECOLEY M. VOLING TO
JEFFREY W. YOUNG, JR., Defendant.
MOTION HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE J. DANIEL BREEN
MAY 20, 2019
CATHY BEST, RPR Official Court Reporter
167 North Main Street, Suite 242 Memphis, Tennessee 38103

UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

And that's why we brought you that evidence. There's no conditions that can control that but to detain him. Thank you. All right. This matter is before THE COURT: the Court on de novo review from the decision of Magistrate Judge John York, which granted bond to Mr. Young. The Court has conducted a lengthy hearing both a week ago Monday and today. It is now 6:20. We've been going all day on this one and certainly a good part of half a day previously. Of course, there is a presumption that the government has raised for the type of offense which Mr. Young has been charged, which is not significantly difficult to overcome I think based upon what has been presented, that Mr. Young has overcome that presumption. However, the government still has the burden of -- persuasion remains with the government to establish that Mr. Young does pose a danger or is a flight risk. I don't think there's really an indication that Mr. Young is a flight risk. So the question now comes before the Court dealing with does he pose a danger to the community. Again, the nature and circumstances of the

offense in the Court's mind does raise a rebuttal

presumption in favor of detention under 18, USC, Section 3142(e)3, again, which can be overcome, but it does involve the distribution of controlled substances.

There is certainly -- a grand jury has returned an indictment. So there's probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the offense. Of course, that's not trial. It's simply probable cause establishment, the grand jury's determination.

The next factor the Court is to consider is the weight of the evidence, which goes to the -- the fact goes to the weight of the evidence, the dangerousness, not the weight of the evidence of the defendant's quilt.

The Court has heard over the last couple of days, today and last Monday, a number of witnesses and evidence that would indicate that Mr. Young has, in fact, made statements, has conducted himself in a manner that would seem, to me, that he has actually threatened people.

There's photographs indicating that he may have inflicted injuries on other individuals at times. And he has made fairly strong statements about other individuals that have disagreed with him or attempted to in any way impact his business or his activities.

Fortunately, he hasn't acted on that anytime soon. Of course, he's been under bond and federal

2.2.

charges at this point. Certainly prior to that time, during the time when the indictment, the accusations against him, there was that. And there's still some indication both of Facebook and text messages that do appear to indicate his propensity for violence or some type of acts of retribution or whatever. I think that factor probably weighs against Mr. Young's continued release.

History and characteristics of the defendant. There has been some involvement with the criminal justice system, not any convictions, of course. But there have been some activities in which the proof has presented that Mr. Young again has had some issues. Some of it may have been related to his mental capacity or some use of alcohol.

There has been testimony that indicates he presently seems to be in a fairly decent mental state. Be that as it may, he does have a history again of some outbursts and other indications about his saying things to others and threatening them and posting matters.

Certainly, I've already mentioned the video that was shown and some of the activities that Mr. Young was involved, particularly the one certainly — it was difficult to watch. But in my opinion, the relationship, the sexual relationship Mr. Young was apparently having

with the woman that appeared, to me, to be at least semi-conscious if not fully under some type of circumstance that she was not in complete control of her faculties, that obviously is certainly a problem from the Court's standpoint.

Again, it's a close question in terms of his other aspects, but I still think that that particular factor, that history and characteristic, does indicate that his background and some of his actions have proven to be of concern to the Court during the course of these events.

And finally, the danger to the community that Mr. Young poses by his continued release, whether or not there are any conditions or combination of conditions that can be imposed to protect the safety of the community, considering all the evidence the Court has heard over these last couple days, the Court concludes that, again, based upon review of all the testimony here that there are no conditions, combination of conditions, that would assure the safety of the community by Mr. Young's continued release. The Court is going to find that he should be detained pending the trial of this case.

We'll go ahead and set a release -- excuse me, a report date. I know, of course, Mr. Ferguson indicates